

. چر

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,502	01/24/2000	YASUO KONDO	10641-0001-3	5164
22850 7	590 02/24/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI			SIMONE, CATHERINE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		•	AS-23				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/462,502	KONDO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<b>4</b>	Catherine Simone	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, remunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by will, by statute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timel  MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>11 December 2002</u> .		•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-17,19 and 20</u> is/are	nending in the application		·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	• •	1					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are allowed.	are withdrawn from consideration						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
•							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	PTO-948) 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( ce of Informal Patent Application (PTo					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/462,502

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "joint portion" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the airbag case" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/462,502

Art Unit: 1772

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 12-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kazuo (JP 10-095029).

Regarding claims 1, 13, 14 and 16, Kazuo discloses a panel for an airbag of an automobile formed by integrally two-color molding a cover body made of a first synthetic resin (Fig. 1, #32) with a main body made of a second synthetic resin (Fig. 1, #34) which is harder than the first synthetic resin material, the panel comprising a joint portion joined with the cover body provided around an opening portion (Fig. 1, #40) formed in the main body, wherein the joint portion constitutes an overlapping portion (Fig. 1, #36) in which an outer peripheral edge (Fig. 1, #32A) of the cover body (Fig. 1, #32) is overlapped as being arranged on the inner side of an opening edge of the main body (Fig. 1, #34) and the overlapping portion (Fig. 1, #36) is welded together in two-color molding the main body with the cover body; and a deformation restricting portion (Fig. 1, #44) provided at the outer peripheral edge of the cover body and engaged with a mold face, wherein the main body (Fig. 1, #34) includes an opening portion (Fig. 1, #40) which is closed by the cover body (Fig. 1, #32). Regarding claim 3, note a concavoconvex portion (Fig. 5, #56) having a serrate shape is formed on a lower face of the main body (Fig. 5, #34) in the overlapping portion (Fig. 5, #36). Regarding claim 5, note a surface of a periphery of the cover body has a step portion (Fig. 6, #34) adjacent to the rear surface of the cover body and facing the edge of the opening portion (Fig. 1, #40) of the main body, thereby, a groove portion (Fig. 6, #41) having a closed curve shape is formed in a boundary portion of an end portion of the opening portion of the main body in the cover body, and a first wall portion which is thin (Fig. 6, #35) and which ruptures and a second wall portion (Fig. 6, near #41) which Application/Control Number: 09/462,502

Art Unit: 1772

is at least 1.5 times as thick as the first wall portion and which does not rupture at an operating time of the airbag are formed along the groove portion (Fig. 6, #51) in the cover body in a single line shape. Regarding claims 12 and 19, note a rib projecting (Fig. 1, #32A) into the second wall portion and connected to the airbag case through a connecting member (Fig. 1, #14). Regarding claims 15 and 17, note the deformation restricting portion is constituted of a convex strip having an angular cross section (Fig. 1, #44).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. **Claims 6-11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuo (JP 10-095029).

Kazuo discloses a panel for an airbag of an automobile formed by integrally two-color molding a cover body made of a first synthetic resin (Fig. 1, #32) with a main body made of a second synthetic resin (Fig. 1, #34) which is harder than the first synthetic resin material, the panel comprising a joint portion joined with the cover body provided around an opening portion (Fig. 1, #40) formed in the main body, wherein the joint portion constitutes an overlapping portion (Fig. 1, #36) in which an outer peripheral edge (Fig. 1, #32A) of the cover body (Fig. 1, #32) is overlapped as being arranged on the inner side of an opening edge of the main body (Fig. 1, #34) and the overlapping portion (Fig. 1, #36) is welded together in two-color molding the main body with the cover body. Kazuo also discloses a first wall portion (Fig. 6, #35) and a

Art Unit: 1772

second wall portion (Fig. 6, near #41) as well as a groove portion (Fig. 6, #41). However, Kazuo fails to disclose the first and second wall portions being of different shapes i.e. a quadrilateral, two quadrilaterals, one transversal line, one longitudinal line or H-shaped.

Normally, it is to be expected that a change in shape of the first and second wall portions would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as shape may impart patentability to a product if the particular shape claimed produces a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art. *In re Dailey et al*, 149 USPQ 47 CCPA 1966.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the shape of the first and second wall portions noted in Kazuo to a longitudinal line, a transversal line, a quadrilateral, two quadrilaterals or an H-shape. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to form a panel for an airbag, since it has been held that the change in form or shape of the thin and non-thin wall portion would be an unpatentable modification absence of showing unexpected results.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-17 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 20 is allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach or suggest the recited "interrupting a portion

Art Unit: 1772

between a first cavity portion for forming a first member and a second cavity portion for forming a second member by allowing the core to project and contact an opposite one of the male or female die" and the recited "forming a communicating portion between the first and second cavity portions by retreating the core" in claim 20.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (703) 605-4297. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Catherine Simone

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

February 20, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER